Comment on "Foundations of quantum mechanics: Connection with stochastic processes"

D. Alonso[1], J. G. Muga[2], R. Sala Mayato[3]
[1] *Departamento de Física Fundamental y Experimental, Universidad de La Laguna, La Laguna, Tenerife, Spain*
[2] *Departamento de Química-Física, Universidad del País Vasco, Apdo. 644, 48080 Bilbao, Spain*
[3] *Departamento de Física Fundamental II, Universidad de La Laguna, La Laguna, Tenerife, Spain*

arXiv:quant-ph/0011038v1 9 Nov 2000

Recently, Olavo has proposed several derivations of the Schrödinger equation from different sets of hypothesis ("axiomatizations") [Phys. Rev. A **61**, 052109 (2000)]. One of them is based on the infinitesimal inverse Weyl transform of a classically evolved phase space density. We show however that the Schrödinger equation can only be obtained in that manner for linear or quadratic potential functions.

The relation between classical and quantum mechanics has been scrutinized from many different points of view, and even so many aspects are still debated or unclear. In a recent paper [1], and in [2], Olavo proposes a derivation of the Schrödinger equation starting from the equation of motion of the normalized phase space "density" $F^{cl}(x,p;t)$ (we shall limit our discussion to one dimension for simplicity),

$$F^{cl}_t = -\frac{p}{m}F^{cl}_x + V_x F^{cl}_p. \tag{1}$$

F^{cl} is associated with an ensemble of independent particles that evolve classically, but it may take negative values [3]. It is assumed that the force on the particle derives from a potential V, and the partial derivatives with respect to x, p and t are indicated by subscripts. The same convention will be used later for other variables and second derivatives, in particular $A_{uv} \equiv \partial^2 A/(\partial u \partial v)$.

Then Olavo defines a "characteristic function" as the inverse Weyl transform of F^{cl},

$$Z(x,\delta x/2;t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dp\, F^{cl}(x,p;t)\exp(ip\delta x/\hbar). \tag{2}$$

We have introduced for later convenience a couple of notational changes with respect to Olavo's work: F^{cl} instead of F, and Z instead of Z_Q. The superscript "cl" will distinguish classically evolved quantities from quantum ones, labelled by "q", whenever confusion might arise.

Olavo restricts δx to infinitesimal values, but several equations derived in [1,2] do not require this constraint, so we shall maintain by now δx as an arbitrary real number. Note that we have introduced $\hbar$ in the transformation, this will allow to obtain equations with quantum appearance later on. Multiplying (1) by the exponential in (2), integrating over p, and assuming that $F^{cl}(x,p)$ vanishes for $p=\pm\infty$ one obtains

$$i\hbar Z_t = -\frac{\hbar^2}{m}Z_{x\delta x} + \delta x V_x. \tag{3}$$

With the change of variables

$$y = x + \frac{\delta x}{2},$$
$$y' = x - \frac{\delta x}{2}, \tag{4}$$

Eq. (3) takes the form

$$i\hbar Z_t = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\left(Z_{yy} - Z_{y'y'}\right) + (y-y')V_{(y+y')/2}Z. \tag{5}$$

Olavo assumes that δx is infinitesimal to discretize the derivative,

$$(y-y')V_{(y+y')/2} = V(y) - V(y') + O[(y+y')/2)]^2, \tag{6}$$

so that, retaining only the first terms,

$$i\hbar Z_t \approx -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}(Z_{yy} - Z_{y'y'}) + [V(y) - V(y')]Z. \tag{7}$$

This equation is exact when V is linear or at most quadratic, since in these cases there are no correction terms in Eq. (6); but otherwise it is only valid when y and y' are infinitesimally close. It is to be noted that Eq. (7) has already, for arbitrary y and y', the *form* of the Liouville von Neumann quantum dynamical equation for the density matrix $\langle y|\rho^q(t)|y'\rangle$,

$$\begin{aligned} i\hbar\langle y|\rho^q(t)|y'\rangle_t = &-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\left(\langle y|\rho^q(t)|y'\rangle_{yy} - \langle y|\rho^q(t)|y'\rangle_{y'y'}\right) \\ &+ [V(y) - V(y')]\langle y|\rho^q(t)|y'\rangle. \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

In the classical case the dynamics may be formulated similarly in terms of a classical density matrix defined as

$$\langle x+\delta x/2|\rho^{cl}(t)|x-\delta x/2\rangle = Z(x,\delta x/2;t) \tag{9}$$

for all x and δx. In this notation Eq. (5) takes the form

$$\begin{aligned} i\hbar\langle y|\rho^{cl}(t)|y'\rangle_t = &-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\left(\langle y|\rho^{cl}(t)|y'\rangle_{yy} - \langle y|\rho^{cl}(t)|y'\rangle_{y'y'}\right) \\ &+ (y-y')V_{(y+y')/2}\langle y|\rho^{cl}(t)|y'\rangle. \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

Comparing Eqs. (8) and (10), it is clear that the formal passage from classical to quantum dynamics may be carried out by the *substitution* [4]

$$(y-y')V_{(y+y')/2} \rightarrow V(y)-V(y'). \tag{11}$$

One could take this substitution as a formal recipe to *construct* the quantum evolution equation from the classical one, but of course it does not represent a "derivation" of quantum mechanics from classical mechanics.

In order to *derive* the Schrödinger equation from (7), Olavo assumes that $\langle y|\rho^{cl}(t)|y'\rangle$, with y and y' infinitesimally close, factorizes as

$$\langle y|\rho^{cl}(t)|y'\rangle = \langle y|\psi(t)\rangle\langle\psi(t)|y'\rangle. \tag{12}$$

This seems a natural decomposition since one can formally separate the variables y and y' in (7). Olavo then arrives at the Schrödinger equation for $\langle y|\psi(t)\rangle$ by obtaining from Eqs. (12) and (3), in the small δx limit, a continuity and a Hamilton-Jacobi like equation with a "quantum potential" term. It thus might appear that quantum mechanics can be obtained simply by means of an infinitesimal inverse Weyl transformation from the classically evolved phase space density. However, this is not so in general. That the assumption (12) cannot hold generically may be seen already by a logical inconsistency: if $\langle y|\psi(t)\rangle$ satisfies the Schrödinger equation, then $\langle y|\psi(t)\rangle\langle\psi(t)|y'\rangle$ must satisfy the Liouville von Neumann equation for arbitrary values of y and y', which contradicts the fact that (7) is only valid classically for infinitesimally close y and y'. Indeed the failure of the hypothesis (12) for classically evolved density matrix may be proved explicitly. Muga and Snider have shown that neither the positivity nor the "purity" of the classical density matrix (i.e., the factorized structure in Eq. (12)) are preserved in time if these properties hold at $t=0$ [4]. The case of linear or quadratic potentials is exceptional since the dynamical equations for ρ^{cl} and ρ^{q} become identical. Consequently, provided that the initial classical state of the ensemble is chosen with the form of Eq. (12) (and in such a way that $\psi(t=0)$ may represent a valid quantum state), this form will be preserved for arbitrary t for potentials which are at most quadratic, so the quantum density matrix and wave function can be obtained from the classical density matrix. For other potentials, the coherences (non-diagonal elements of the density matrices) will evolve differently because of the different ways in which the potential acts in the classical and quantum Eqs. (5) and (8), even if the initial density matrices are equal. These differences will be noticeable faster for larger values of $|y-y'|$. Eventually, the diagonal terms $\langle y|\rho^{cl}|y\rangle$ and $\langle y|\rho^{q}|y\rangle$ will differ too, because their evolution is not closed, that is, they depend as well on the off-diagonal terms.

Up to now, we have emphasized the density matrix formulations of classical and quantum mechanics. We shall now use instead the equivalent phase space and hydrodynamical formulations [3]. This will clarify further the key role played by Olavo's factorization assumption. The same mathematical transformation that gives F^{cl} from ρ^{cl} gives also the Wigner function F^{q} from ρ^{q}. We shall in fact drop the superscripts for the equations that are common to both mechanics,

$$F\,(x,p;t) = \frac{1}{h}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d(\delta x)\left\langle x-\frac{\delta x}{2}\middle|\rho(t)\middle|x+\frac{\delta x}{2}\right\rangle e^{ip\delta x/\hbar}. \tag{13}$$

The evolution equation of F^{q} has the form

$$F^{q}_{t} = -\frac{p}{m}F^{q}_{x} + \frac{2}{\hbar}\sin\left(\frac{\hbar}{2}\frac{\partial}{\partial p}\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right)VF^{q}, \tag{14}$$

where $\partial/\partial x$ acts only on V. Multiplying Eqs. (1) and (14) by powers of p and integrating over the same variable a hierarchy of "hydrodynamical equations" is obtained. The first two, which are formally identical for the classical and the quantum cases, are the equations of continuity and motion,

$$n_t = -(n\bar{p}/m)_x, \tag{15}$$

$$\bar{p}_t = -\frac{\bar{p}}{m}\bar{p}_x - V_x - \frac{1}{nm}(n\sigma^2)_x, \tag{16}$$

where

$$n = n(x;t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dp\,F(x,p;t), \tag{17}$$

$$\bar{p} = \bar{p}(x;t) = \frac{1}{n}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dp\,pF(x,p;t), \tag{18}$$

$$\sigma^2 = \sigma^2(x;t) = \frac{1}{n}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dp\,(p-\bar{p})^2F(x,p;t). \tag{19}$$

The equation of motion may also be written as [3]

$$W_t = -\left[\frac{1}{2m}(W_x)^2 + V + I\right], \tag{20}$$

where I and W are defined from their derivatives

$$I_x = \frac{1}{nm}(n\sigma^2)_x, \tag{21}$$

$$W_x = \bar{p}, \tag{22}$$

and by the condition

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx\,\frac{n\sigma^2}{2m} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx\,nI. \tag{23}$$

Eq. (20) has the form of a modified Hamilton-Jacobi equation and it is valid for both mechanics. It can be shown that the term I is equal to the "quantum potential" in the case of quantum pure states [3],

$$Q = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2mR}R_{xx}, \tag{24}$$

where R is the modulus of the pure state wave function. This peculiar structure has an important consequence: the hydrodynamical hierarchy of moment equations can be truncated exactly because the evolution of the first moment $\bar{p}$ can be expressed in terms of the density n and the first moment itself. Only in that case the two equations may be condensed in the form of a Schrödinger equation. This is not the case in general either classically or for quantum mixed states. The point to stress here is that if F satisfies the continuity equation (15) and the equation of motion (20) (we have not specified yet whether it is a classical or a quantum F), and if one assumes that its density matrix factorizes,

$$\begin{aligned} &F\,(x,p;t) \\ &= \frac{1}{h}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d(\delta x) \left\langle x - \frac{\delta x}{2} \middle| \psi(t) \right\rangle \left\langle \psi(t) \middle| x + \frac{\delta x}{2} \right\rangle e^{ip\delta x/\hbar}, \quad (25) \end{aligned}$$

then it follows that $I = Q$ [3], so that the hierarchy is closed. In other words, Olavo's factorizability assumption leads in fact, within the context of a Weyl-Wigner formulation of classical or quantum dynamics, to the Schrödinger equation for pure states, but it does not hold for the classical distribution functions F^{cl}, with the possible exceptions already mentioned of linear or quadratic potentials. If it did, the classical dynamics for an ensemble chosen so that $F^{cl}(x,p;t=0) = F^{q}(x,p;t=0)$ would be equal at all times to its quantum counterpart. That this is not so is a manifestation of the classical breaking of the factorizability condition.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We acknowledge support by The Basque Government (Proyecto “Fundamentos de mecánica cuántica”), The Canadian European Research Initiative on Nanostructures, and The Consejería de Educación, Cultura y Deportes del Gobierno de Canarias (PI2000/111)

---

[1] L. S. F. Olavo, Phys. Rev. A **61**, 052109 (2000).
[2] L. S. F. Olavo, Physica A **262**, 197 (1999).
[3] J. G. Muga, R. Sala, and R. F. Snider, Physica Scripta **47**, 732 (1993).
[4] J. G. Muga and R. F. Snider, Eur. Phys. Lett. **19**, 569 (1992).